

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re GIANT INTERACTIVE GROUP, INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Master File No. 1:07-cv-10588-RWS

CLASS ACTION

This Document Relates To:

ECF Case

ALL ACTIONS.

NOTICE OF PENDENCY AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

TO: ALL PERSONS WHO PURCHASED THE AMERICAN DEPOSITORY SHARES (“ADS”) OF GIANT INTERACTIVE GROUP, INC. (“GIANT”) PURSUANT AND/OR TRACEABLE TO GIANT’S INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING (“IPO”) ON OR ABOUT NOVEMBER 1, 2007, THROUGH NOVEMBER 19, 2007, INCLUSIVE

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY. YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY PROCEEDINGS IN THIS LITIGATION. PLEASE NOTE THAT IF YOU ARE A CLASS MEMBER, YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO SHARE IN THE PROCEEDS OF THE SETTLEMENT DESCRIBED IN THIS NOTICE. TO CLAIM YOUR SHARE OF THIS FUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT A VALID PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM (“PROOF OF CLAIM”) POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 15, 2011.

IF YOU DO NOT WISH TO BE INCLUDED IN THE CLASS AND YOU DO NOT WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT DESCRIBED IN THIS NOTICE, YOU MAY REQUEST TO BE EXCLUDED. TO DO SO, YOU MUST SUBMIT A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION THAT MUST BE POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE OCTOBER 12, 2011.

This Notice has been sent to you pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and an Order of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Court”). The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of the pendency and proposed settlement of this class action litigation and of the hearing to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement. This Notice is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, an expression of any opinion by the Court with respect to the truth of the allegations in the Litigation or the merits of the claims or defenses asserted. This Notice describes the rights you may have in connection with the settlement and what steps you may take in relation to the settlement and this class action litigation.

The proposed settlement creates a fund in the amount of \$13,000,000 in cash and will include interest that accrues on the fund prior to distribution. Your ability to recover from the Settlement Fund will depend on a number of variables, including the number of Giant ADS you purchased during the period from November 1, 2007 through and including November 19, 2007, and the timing of your purchases and any sales. Co-Lead Counsel estimate that if claims representing 100% of the number of ADS entitled to participate in a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund are made, the average distribution per share will be approximately \$0.39 before deduction of Court-approved fees and expenses. Typically, claims for less than 100% of eligible securities are submitted to the Claims Administrator and, thus, average distributions are higher than that estimated above. Lead Plaintiffs and the Defendants do not agree on the average amount of damages per share that would be recoverable if the Lead Plaintiffs were to have prevailed on each claim alleged. The issues on which the parties disagree include: (1) the amount by which Giant ADS were allegedly artificially inflated (if at all) during the

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827

Class Period; (2) the effect of various market forces influencing the trading price of Giant ADS at various times during the Class Period; (3) the extent to which external factors, such as general market and industry conditions, influenced the trading price of Giant ADS at various times during the Class Period; (4) the extent to which the various matters that Lead Plaintiffs alleged were materially false or misleading influenced (if at all) the trading price of Giant ADS at various times during the Class Period; (5) the extent to which the various allegedly adverse material facts that Lead Plaintiffs alleged were omitted influenced (if at all) the trading price of Giant ADS at various times during the Class Period; and (6) whether the statements made or facts allegedly omitted were material, false, misleading or otherwise actionable under the securities laws of the United States.

The Lead Plaintiffs believe that the proposed settlement is a good recovery and is in the best interests of the Class. Because of the risks associated with continuing to litigate and proceeding to trial, there was a danger that the Class would not have prevailed on any of its claims, in which case the Class would receive nothing. The amount of damages recoverable by the Class was and is challenged by the Defendants. Recoverable damages in this case are limited to losses caused by conduct actionable under applicable law and, had the Litigation gone to trial, Defendants would have asserted that all or most of the losses of Class Members were caused by non-actionable market, industry, or general economic factors. The Defendants would also assert that throughout the Class Period the uncertainties and risks associated with the purchase of Giant ADS were fully and adequately disclosed.

Co-Lead Counsel have not received any payment for their services in conducting this Litigation on behalf of the Lead Plaintiffs and the Members of the Class, nor have they been reimbursed for any of their expenses. If the settlement is approved by the Court, Co-Lead Counsel will apply to the Court for attorneys' fees of 33% of the Settlement Fund plus expenses not to exceed \$350,000, both to be paid from the Settlement Fund. If the amount requested is approved by the Court, the average cost per share will be \$0.14. In addition, Plaintiffs may each seek reimbursement of their expenses incurred in prosecuting the Litigation on behalf of the Class in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 per Plaintiff.

For further information regarding this settlement you may contact a representative of Co-Lead Counsel: Rick Nelson, c/o Shareholder Relations, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, Telephone: 800/449-4900.

I. NOTICE OF HEARING ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

A final approval hearing will be held on October 26, 2011, at 12:00 p.m., before the Honorable Robert W. Sweet, United States District Judge, at the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007 (the "Final Approval Hearing"). The purpose of the Final Approval Hearing will be to determine: (1) whether the settlement consisting of \$13,000,000 in cash should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class; (2) whether the proposed plan to distribute the settlement proceeds (the "Plan of Allocation") is fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (3) whether the application by Co-Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses and reimbursement of the expenses of the Plaintiffs should be approved. The Court may adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class.

II. DEFINITIONS USED IN THIS NOTICE

1. "Authorized Claimant" means any Class Member whose claim for recovery has been allowed pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation (as defined herein).
2. "Claims Administrator" means the firm of The Garden City Group, Inc. ("GCG").
3. "Class" means all Persons (other than those Persons who timely and validly request exclusion from the Class) who purchased Giant ADS pursuant and/or traceable to Giant's IPO on or about November 1, 2007, through November 19, 2007, inclusive, excluding the Defendants herein, the directors, officers, partners,

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827

subsidiaries, and affiliates of any Defendant, any person, firm, trust, corporation, officer, director or other individual or entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, affiliates, heirs, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded party.

4. “Class Member” or “Member of the Class” mean a Person who falls within the definition of the Class as set forth in paragraph 3 above.

5. “Class Period” means the period commencing on November 1, 2007, through and including November 19, 2007.

6. “Co-Lead Counsel” means Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Samuel H. Rudman and Evan J. Kaufman, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747, and Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP, Jack G. Fruchter and Lawrence D. Levit, One Pennsylvania Plaza, Suite 2805, New York, NY 10119.

7. “Defendants” means Giant, UBS Investment Bank (“UBS”), and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (“Merrill”).

8. “Effective Date,” or the date upon which this settlement becomes “effective,” means three (3) business days after the date by which all of the events and conditions specified in paragraph 7.1 of the Stipulation have been met and have occurred.

9. “Escrow Agent” means the law firms of Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP or their successor(s).

10. “Final” means when the last of the following with respect to the Judgment approving the Stipulation shall occur: (i) the expiration of the time to file a motion to alter or amend the Judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) without any such motion having been filed; (ii) the time in which to appeal the Judgment has passed without any appeal having been taken; and (iii) if a motion to alter or amend the Judgment is filed or if an appeal is taken, immediately after the determination of that motion or appeal so that it is no longer subject to any further judicial review or appeal whatsoever, whether by reason of affirmance by a court of last resort, lapse of time, voluntary dismissal of the appeal or otherwise in such a manner as to permit the consummation of the settlement substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation. For purposes of this paragraph, an “appeal” shall include any petition for a writ of certiorari or other writ that may be filed in connection with approval or disapproval of this settlement, but shall not include any appeal which concerns only the issue of attorneys’ fees and expenses, the Plan of Allocation of the Settlement Fund, as hereinafter defined, or the procedures for determining Authorized Claimants’ recognized claims.

11. “Judgment” means the Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice to be rendered by the Court.

12. “Lead Plaintiffs” means Dunping Qui, Yihua Li, Xie Yong, Linming Shi, and Arthur Michael Gray.

13. “Litigation” means the consolidated actions under case number 1:07-cv-10588-RWS.

14. “Net Settlement Fund” means the Settlement Fund less any attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses, and any award to Plaintiffs, provided for herein or approved by the Court and less notice and administration costs, Taxes and Tax Expenses, and other Court-approved deductions.

15. “Person” means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any business or legal entity and their spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assignees.

16. “Plaintiffs” means the Lead Plaintiffs and the Class.

17. “Plaintiffs’ Counsel” means any counsel who has appeared in the Litigation on behalf of Plaintiffs.

18. “Plan of Allocation” means a plan or formula of allocation of the Settlement Fund whereby the Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants after payment of expenses of notice and administration of the settlement, Taxes and Tax Expenses, and such attorneys’ fees, costs, expenses, and interest, as well as Plaintiffs’ expenses, if any, as may be awarded by the Court. Any Plan of Allocation is not part of the Stipulation and neither Defendants nor their Related Parties shall have any responsibility or liability with respect thereto.

19. “Related Parties” means each of a Defendant’s past or present directors, officers, employees, partners, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, controlling shareholders, attorneys, accountants or auditors, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, related or affiliated entities, or any entity in which a Defendant has a controlling interest.

20. “Released Claims” shall collectively mean any and all claims arising from the purchase of Giant ADS pursuant and/or traceable to Giant’s IPO on or about November 1, 2007, through November 19, 2007, inclusive, and the acts, facts, statements, and/or omissions that were or could have been alleged in the Litigation. “Released Claims” includes “Unknown Claims” as defined in paragraph 26 hereof. Released Claims does not include any ERISA claims.

21. “Released Persons” means each and all of the Defendants and their Related Parties.

22. “Settlement Amount” means Thirteen Million Dollars (\$13,000,000) in cash to be paid by wire transfer to the Escrow Agent pursuant to paragraph 2.1 of the Stipulation.

23. “Settlement Fund” means the Settlement Amount plus all interest and accretions thereto and which may be reduced by payments or deductions as described herein or by Court order.

24. “Settling Parties” means, collectively, the Defendants and the Plaintiffs.

25. “Underwriter Defendants” means UBS and Merrill.

26. “Unknown Claims” means any Released Claims which Lead Plaintiffs or Class Members do not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Persons which, if known by him, her, or it, might have affected his, her, or its settlement with and release of the Released Persons, or might have affected his, her, or its decision not to object to this settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims, the Settling Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, the Lead Plaintiffs shall expressly waive and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived the provisions, rights, and benefits of California Civil Code §1542, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

The Lead Plaintiffs shall expressly waive and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to California Civil Code §1542. The Lead Plaintiffs and Class Members may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but the Lead Plaintiffs shall expressly settle and release and each Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. The Lead Plaintiffs acknowledge, and

the Class Members shall be deemed by operation of the Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and a key element of the settlement of which this release is a part.

III. THE LITIGATION

On and after November 26, 2007, two complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of all Persons, other than Defendants, who purchased Giant ADS pursuant and/or traceable to Giant's IPO on or about November 1, 2007, through November 19, 2007, inclusive. These cases were consolidated by this Court's Order dated July 30, 2008, and captioned as *In re Giant Interactive Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* under the case number 1:07-cv-10588-RWS. Following the appointment of Dunping Qui, Yihua Li, Xie Yong, Linming Shi, and Arthur Michael Gray as Lead Plaintiffs and the appointment of Co-Lead Counsel by the same Order, Lead Plaintiffs filed the Consolidated Amended Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws ("CAC"). The CAC alleged that the Defendants violated Sections 11 and 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. Defendants moved to dismiss the CAC on November 21, 2008. On August 5, 2009, the Court issued its opinion denying Defendants' motion to dismiss the CAC. Defendants each served an answer to the CAC.

Giant has produced more than two million pages of documents to Lead Plaintiffs, and the Underwriter Defendants have collectively produced more than 200,000 pages of documents. On June 24, 2010, in Hong Kong, Co-Lead Counsel deposed Giant's Chief Financial Officer, testifying as Giant's corporate designee under Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On July 30, 2010, the parties exchanged initial disclosures pursuant Rule 26(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lead Plaintiffs have produced documents in response to Defendants' document requests and have responded to interrogatories. Defendants have also taken the depositions of three of the Lead Plaintiffs and one other plaintiff. The parties attended a mediation session with the Honorable Layn R. Phillips, a former United States District Judge for the Western District of Oklahoma, on March 2, 2011. Prior to the mediation, each side submitted comprehensive mediation statements setting forth the strengths and weaknesses of their case. Although the mediation session, which lasted approximately eight hours, did not result in a settlement, Judge Phillips continued to engage the parties in ongoing settlement discussions. On March 21, 2011, Judge Phillips made a Mediator's Proposal, which was accepted by all parties on April 12, 2011.

IV. CLAIMS OF THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

The Lead Plaintiffs believe that the claims asserted in the Litigation have merit and that the evidence developed to date supports the claims. However, the Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel recognize and acknowledge the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Litigation against Defendants through trial and through appeals. The Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel also have taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as the Litigation, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. The Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel also are mindful of the inherent problems of proof under, and possible defenses to, the securities law violations asserted in the Litigation. The Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel believe that the settlement set forth in the Stipulation confers substantial benefits upon the Class. Based on their evaluation, the Lead Plaintiffs and their counsel have determined that the settlement set forth in the Stipulation is in the best interests of the Lead Plaintiffs and the Class.

V. DEFENDANTS' DENIALS OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims alleged by the Lead Plaintiffs in the Litigation. Defendants expressly have denied and continue to deny all charges of wrongdoing or liability against them arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Litigation. Defendants also have denied and continue to deny, among other allegations, the

allegations that the Lead Plaintiffs or the Class have suffered damage, that the price of Giant ADS was artificially inflated by reasons of alleged misrepresentations, non-disclosures or otherwise, or that the Lead Plaintiffs or the Class were harmed by the conduct alleged in the CAC and the Defendants believe that the evidence developed to date supports their position that they acted properly at all times and that the Litigation is without merit.

Nonetheless, Defendants have concluded that further conduct of the Litigation would be protracted and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Litigation be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation. Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in complex cases like the Litigation. Defendants have, therefore, determined that it is desirable and beneficial to them that the Litigation be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

VI. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

Giant has paid or caused to be paid into an escrow account, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement dated July 21, 2011 (the "Stipulation"), cash in the amount of \$13,000,000 which has been earning and will continue to earn interest for the benefit of the Class. In exchange for such payment, the Released Claims will be released, discharged, and dismissed with prejudice as against each of the Released Persons.

A portion of the settlement proceeds will be used for certain administrative expenses, including costs of printing and mailing this Notice, the cost of publishing a newspaper notice, payment of any taxes assessed against the Settlement Fund, and costs associated with the processing of claims submitted. In addition, as explained below, a portion of the Settlement Fund may be awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel as attorneys' fees and expenses, and to Plaintiffs for their time and expenses. The balance of the Settlement Fund (the "Net Settlement Fund") will be distributed according to the Plan of Allocation described below to Class Members who submit valid and timely Proofs of Claim.

VII. THE RIGHTS OF CLASS MEMBERS

If you are a Class Member, you may receive the benefit of, and you will be bound by, the terms of the proposed settlement described in Section VI of this Notice, upon approval of it by the Court.

If you are a Class Member, you have the following options:

1. You may file a Proof of Claim as described below. If you choose this option, you will remain a Class Member, you may share in the proceeds of the proposed settlement if your claim is timely and valid and if the proposed settlement is finally approved by the Court, and you will be bound by the Judgment and release described below.

2. If you do not wish to be included in the Class and you do not wish to participate in the proposed settlement described in this Notice, you may request to be excluded. To do so, you must submit a written request for exclusion that must be postmarked on or before October 12, 2011. You must set forth: (a) your name, address, and telephone number; (b) the number of Giant ADS purchased pursuant and/or traceable to Giant's IPO during the Class Period and the dates and prices of such purchase(s); and (c) that you wish to be excluded from the Class. The exclusion request should be addressed as follows:

Giant Interactive Securities Litigation
EXCLUSION REQUEST
c/o GCG, Inc.
P.O. Box 9776
Dublin, OH 43017-5676

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827

NO REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION WILL BE CONSIDERED VALID UNLESS ALL OF THE INFORMATION DESCRIBED ABOVE IS INCLUDED IN ANY SUCH REQUEST.

If you timely and validly request exclusion from the Class, (a) you will be excluded from the Class, (b) you will not share in the proceeds of the settlement described herein, (c) you will not be bound by any judgment entered in the Litigation, and (d) you will not be precluded, by reason of your decision to request exclusion from the Class, from otherwise prosecuting an individual claim, if timely, against Defendants based on the matters complained of in the Litigation.

3. If you do not request in writing to be excluded from the Class, as set forth in paragraph 2 above, you will be bound by any and all determinations or judgments in the Litigation in connection with the settlement entered into or approved by the Court, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Class, and you shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have fully released all of the Released Claims against the Released Persons, whether or not you submit a valid Proof of Claim.

4. You may object to the settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or the application of Co-Lead Counsel or Plaintiffs for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in the manner set forth below. The filing of a Proof of Claim by a Class Member does not preclude a Class Member from objecting to the settlement. However, if your objection is rejected you will be bound by the settlement and the Judgment just as if you had not objected.

5. You may do nothing at all. If you choose this option, you will not share in the proceeds of the settlement, but you will be bound by any judgment entered by the Court, and you shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have fully released all of the Released Claims against the Released Persons.

6. If you are a Class Member, you may, but are not required to, enter an appearance through counsel of your own choosing at your own expense. If you do not do so, you will be represented by Co-Lead Counsel: Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Samuel H. Rudman and Evan J. Kaufman, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747, and Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP, Jack G. Fruchter and Lawrence D. Levit, One Pennsylvania Plaza, Suite 2805, New York, NY 10119.

VIII. PLAN OF ALLOCATION

The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to Class Members who submit valid, timely Proofs of Claim ("Authorized Claimants") under the Plan of Allocation described below. The Plan of Allocation provides that you will be eligible to participate in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund only if you have a net loss on all transactions in Giant ADS during the Class Period.

For purposes of determining the amount an Authorized Claimant may recover under the Plan of Allocation, Co-Lead Counsel have consulted with their damages experts and the Plan of Allocation reflects an assessment of the damages that they believe could have been recovered had Lead Plaintiffs prevailed at trial.

To the extent there are sufficient funds in the Net Settlement Fund, each Authorized Claimant will receive an amount equal to the Authorized Claimant's claim, as defined below. If, however (and as is more likely), the amount in the Net Settlement Fund is not sufficient to permit payment of the total claim of each Authorized Claimant, then each Authorized Claimant shall be paid the percentage of the Net Settlement Fund that each Authorized Claimant's claim bears to the total of the claims of all Authorized Claimants. Payment in this manner shall be deemed conclusive against all Authorized Claimants.

A claim will be calculated as follows:

Damage Claims related to the Company's Initial Public Offering	
Initial Public Offering Price:	\$15.50 per ADS
Closing Price on the date the lawsuit was filed:	\$11.61 per ADS

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827

For ADSs of Giant purchased from November 1, 2007 through November 19, 2007, inclusive, pursuant and/or traceable to the Company's offering prospectus dated October 31, 2007 and held as of the close of business on November 19, 2007:

The claim per ADS is the lesser of (i) the purchase price per ADS less the sales price per ADS, (ii) \$15.50 less \$11.61, or (iii) the purchase price per ADS less \$11.61.

The date of purchase or sale is the "contract" or "trade" date as distinguished from the "settlement" date.

For Class Members who made multiple purchases or sales during the Class Period, the First-In, First-Out ("FIFO") method will be applied to such purchases and sales for purposes of calculating a claim. Under the FIFO method, sales of securities during the Class Period will be matched, in chronological order, against purchases of Giant ADS in chronological order, beginning with the earliest purchase made during the Class Period.

A Class Member will be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund only if a Class Member had a net loss, after all profits from transactions in Giant ADS during the Class Period are subtracted from all losses. No distributions will be made to Class Members who would otherwise receive less than \$10.00.

The Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust the claim of any Class Member on equitable grounds.

Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation set forth above shall be conclusive against all Authorized Claimants. No Person shall have any claim of any kind against the Defendants or their Related Parties with respect to the investment or distribution of the Settlement Fund. No Person shall have any claim against Lead Plaintiffs, Co-Lead Counsel, or any Claims Administrator or other person designated by Co-Lead Counsel based on distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation and the settlement contained therein, the Plan of Allocation, or further orders of the Court. All Class Members who fail to complete and file a valid and timely Proof of Claim shall be barred from participating in distributions from the Net Settlement Fund (unless otherwise ordered by the Court), but otherwise shall be bound by all of the terms of the Stipulation, including the terms of any judgment entered and the releases given.

IX. PARTICIPATION IN THE SETTLEMENT

TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND, YOU MUST TIMELY COMPLETE AND RETURN THE PROOF OF CLAIM FORM THAT ACCOMPANIES THIS NOTICE. The Proof of Claim must be postmarked on or before December 15, 2011, and delivered to the Claims Administrator at the address below. Unless the Court orders otherwise, if you do not timely submit a valid Proof of Claim, you will be barred from receiving any payments from the Net Settlement Fund, but will in all other respects be bound by the provisions of the Stipulation and the Judgment.

X. DISMISSAL AND RELEASES

If the proposed settlement is approved, the Court will enter a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice ("Judgment"). The Judgment will dismiss the Released Claims with prejudice as to all Defendants. The Judgment will provide that all Class Members shall be deemed to have released and forever discharged all Released Claims against all Released Persons and that the Released Persons shall be deemed to have released and discharged all Class Members and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims arising out of the prosecution and settlement of the Litigation or the Released Claims.

XI. APPLICATION FOR FEES AND EXPENSES

At the Final Approval Hearing, Co-Lead Counsel will request the Court to award attorneys' fees of 33% of the Settlement Fund, plus expenses not to exceed \$350,000, which were incurred in connection with the Litigation, plus interest thereon. In addition, the Plaintiffs will each seek reimbursement of their expenses incurred in representing the Class in the Litigation, not to exceed \$10,000 per Plaintiff. Such sums as may be approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Class Members are not personally liable for any such fees or expenses.

To date, Co-Lead Counsel have not received any payment for their services in conducting this Litigation on behalf of the Lead Plaintiffs and Members of the Class, nor have counsel been paid their substantial expenses. The fee requested by Co-Lead Counsel will compensate counsel for their efforts in achieving the Settlement Fund for the benefit of the Class, and for their risk in undertaking this representation on a wholly contingent basis. The fee requested is well within the range of fees awarded to plaintiffs' counsel under similar circumstances in other litigation of this type.

XII. CONDITIONS FOR SETTLEMENT

The settlement is conditioned upon the occurrence of certain events described in the Stipulation. Those events include, among other things, (1) entry of the Judgment by the Court, as provided for in the Stipulation; and (2) expiration of the time to appeal from or alter or amend the Judgment. If, for any reason, any one of the conditions described in the Stipulation is not met, the Stipulation might be terminated and, if terminated, will become null and void, and the parties to the Stipulation will be restored to their respective positions as of April 12, 2011.

XIII. THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD AT THE HEARING

Any Class Member who timely and validly files a written objection to any aspect of the settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the application for attorneys' fees and expenses or awards to Plaintiffs, may appear and be heard at the Final Approval Hearing. Any such Person must submit a written notice of objection, received on or before October 12, 2011, to each of the following:

<i>Court:</i>	<i>Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs:</i>	<i>Counsel for Defendant Giant Interactive Group, Inc.:</i>
CLERK OF THE COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP JACK G. FRUCHTER One Pennsylvania Plaza, Suite 2805 New York, NY 10119	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP SETH ARONSON 400 South Hope Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 <i>Counsel for Underwriter Defendants:</i> SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP ANDREW W. STERN 787 7th Avenue New York, NY 10019

The notice of objection must demonstrate the objecting Person's membership in the Class, including the number of shares of Giant ADS purchased during the Class Period and contain a statement of the reasons for objection. Only Members of the Class who have submitted written notices of objection in this manner will be entitled to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing, unless the Court orders otherwise.

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827

XIV. SPECIAL NOTICE TO BANKS, BROKERS, AND OTHER NOMINEES

If you hold any Giant ADS purchased pursuant and/or traceable to Giant's IPO during the Class Period as nominee for a beneficial owner, then, within ten (10) days after you receive this Notice, you must either: (1) send a copy of this Notice and the Proof of Claim by First-Class Mail to all such Persons; or (2) provide a list of the names and addresses of such Persons to the Claims Administrator:

Giant Interactive Securities Litigation
c/o GCG, Inc.
P.O. Box 9776
Dublin, OH 43017-5676

If you choose to mail the Notice and Proof of Claim yourself, you may obtain from the Claims Administrator (without cost to you) as many additional copies of these documents as you will need to complete the mailing.

Regardless of whether you choose to complete the mailing yourself or elect to have the mailing performed for you, you may obtain reimbursement for or advancement of reasonable administrative costs actually incurred or expected to be incurred in connection with forwarding the Notice and Proof of Claim and which would not have been incurred but for the obligation to forward the Notice and Proof of Claim, upon submission of appropriate documentation to the Claims Administrator.

XV. EXAMINATION OF PAPERS

This Notice is a summary and does not describe all of the details of the Stipulation. For full details of the matters discussed in this Notice, you may review the Stipulation filed with the Court, which may be inspected during business hours, at the office of the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007, or at www.gcginc.com.

If you have any questions about the settlement of the Litigation, you may contact Co-Lead Counsel by writing:

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
ELLEN GUSIKOFF STEWART
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP
JACK G. FRUCHTER
One Pennsylvania Plaza, Suite 2805
New York, NY 10119

DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

DATED: August 2, 2011

BY ORDER OF THE COURT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

QUESTIONS? CALL TOLL-FREE 1 (888) 312-5827